Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/777,448	CHILDERS, WINTHROP D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KRISTEN C. MATTER	3771	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>KRISTEN C. MATTER</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>WALTER KARNSTEIN</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 September 2008	Time: <u>3 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Claims 34, 38, and 39 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Kristen C. Matter/ Examiner, Art Unit 3771 /Justine R. Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU3771			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Application No. Paper No. 20080923

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attached examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed upon. Applicant also clarified that the term "recharging" as used in claims 38 and 39 referred to the accumulator being refilled with medicament for storing.